

# Nominations & Remuneration Committee

Date of Meeting	Thursday 10 October 2024	
Paper Title	Review of Diversity Succession Plan 2024	
Agenda Item	9	
Paper Number	NRC2-E	
Responsible Officer	Board Secretary	
Status	Disclosable	
Action	For Decision	

### 1. Executive Summary

**1.1** As part of its membership and recruitment remit, the Committee retains oversight of Board diversity succession planning.

## **1.2** The following report provides:

- a breakdown of current gender balance;
- a summary report on any activity relevant to board diversity and diversity planning in the course of the last year;
- the current Diversity Succession Plan for approval.

## 2. Recommendations

# **2.1.** The Committee is invited to:

- note recruitment activity in the past year;
- approve the plan for 2024-25 or agree changes as appropriate.

### 3. Background

- **3.1** Public authorities are required, under the Equality Act 2010 to have in place succession plans to increase the diversity of their boards.
- **3.2** Board diversity refers, in the context of the Scottish Specific Duties, to the protected characteristics defined in the Equalities Act. In terms of the Scottish Government's and the Board's own expectations of what will lead to strong and effective governance, however, diversity refers also to the range of social, educational, economic, and other perspectives that will make for a balanced and representative board. That broad and inclusive definition of diversity has been the basis for GCRB's planning since a Diversity Succession Plan was first agreed in 2017.
- **3.3** While the Board cannot exercise influence over Student, Staff or TU appointments or the Board Chair, Non-Executive appointments/extensions and the appointment/extension of College Board Chairs are within the Board's powers. Non-executive Members account for 55% of the Board when membership is at full capacity.
- **3.4** The consultation on the future of the regional bodies in Glasgow and Lanarkshire closed on 20 September and an announcement on GCRB's future is expected around the turn of the year. GCRB currently has a full complement of non-executive members so no recruitment is currently planned before the end of the academic year. No changes are proposed to the plan as set out under 5. below.

#### 4. Gender Balance

- **4.1** The Gender Representation on Public Boards (Scotland) Act was passed in 2018 requiring boards to appoint in the interest of achieving 50% women non-executive members where there are two or more equally suitable candidates, one of whom is a woman, for a non-executive vacancy.
- **4.2** GCRB has adhered to the legislation in all of its recruitment activity.
- **4.3** Four new non-executive members two women, two men were appointed in April 2024 following an open recruitment process aligned to the Ministerial guidance on appointments. The recruitment panel comprised three women and two men. Applications were received from six women and nine men; four women and five men were invited to interview. The advertisement contained the following sections designed to encourage a diversity of applicants and provide relevant supporting information:

GCRB is committed to ensuring our board represents the diversity of our city and region. We encourage applications from members of groups currently underrepresented on public sector boards, including women, people with a disability, those of different cultural and social backgrounds and people under the age of 50.

Board members serve on a voluntary basis, with reasonable travel and childcare expenses paid, and normally participate in around five Board and five Committee meetings each year. Board meetings are currently held in person on Monday afternoons and committee meetings are online. For more information about the GCRB please visit our website: <a href="http://www.qcrb.ac.uk">http://www.qcrb.ac.uk</a>

**4.4** One TU Member – a woman - also joined the Board in April 2024 following a nomination process conducted by EIS FELA which was consistent with the guidance agreed by the Good Governance Steering Group.

- **4.5** Two new student members have been appointed for 2024-25 following a GCRSE nomination process facilitated by NUS. Both are women.
- **4.6** Other equalities data besides gender are collected (anonymised) by GCRB if provided when new GCRB Board Members are appointed but is not currently collected by Scottish Government<sup>1</sup>.

	Male	Female	
Full Board	9 members: 43%	12 members: 57%	
By membership category			
Chair of the Board	•	1	
Non-Executives	6: 50%	6: 50%	
Assigned College Chairs	3: 100%	•	
Staff Members	•	2: 100%	
TU Members	•	1: 100%	
Student Members	•	2: 100%	

### 5. Current Plan of Action for Promoting Board Diversity

#### 5.1 Introduction

GCRB has had a Diversity Succession Plan in place since 2017, setting out its approach to ensuring a diverse and representative governing body for the Glasgow college region. This plan is reviewed annually by the GCRB Nominations & Remuneration Committee, which has oversight of GCRB's recruitment and appointment activity.

The Scottish Government's guidance on succession planning for public sector boards makes the following case for board diversity:

Diverse boards are more likely to be better able to understand their stakeholders and to benefit from fresh perspectives, new ideas, vigorous challenges and broad experience. This diversity of thought and contribution should result in better corporate governance and decision-making, and, in turn, support continuous improvement of our public services in Scotland.

A Board that reflects the people and communities that it serves is also more likely to have credibility with them, thus promoting public trust in Board decision-making.

#### 5.2 Overview of Target Groups

The Glasgow college region comprises a large, diverse and predominantly metropolitan population and as such, a Board that is truly representative of the Glasgow college region should include members drawn from a range of social and employment backgrounds relative to the Glasgow college region, and embody as far as possible diversity in terms of the protected characteristics set out in the Equality Act 2010.

GCRB has identified, together with the Glasgow College Boards, a need to attract, in particular, young members, members drawn from BME communities and members with disabilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Scottish Government has said that it will collate board data on protected characteristics from across the sector and return contextualised data to individual boards.

Given the Board's leadership role in co-ordinating the delivery of a coherent and high-quality regional college education service, members with extensive leadership experience and knowledge of the education sector are essential to GCRB fulfilling its ambitions.

Members drawn from industry and commerce – the major Scottish and regional industries, but also SMEs and start-ups – will bring an understanding of the economy into which students will emerge and which they must be equipped to support and lead.

Understanding of the wider economic and political context in which colleges are currently operating is also key, given the changing nature of post-16 education including the move towards more coherent public services and towards a learner journey that is seen as a continuum with alternative progression routes available to learners. Thus, board members drawn from public sector leadership positions and other areas of the education spectrum who have knowledge and experience of the wider educational context are an important component of the Board.

Given that almost a third of the region's students come from the 10% most deprived areas, the Regional Board should include members who have a sound understanding of social and economic factors affecting the Glasgow region and drivers for social and economic development.

The Board's appointment decisions should also reflect the fact that successful regional governance depends on effective relationships and partnership working across a complex and unique FE governance structure.

The complexity and scale of GCRB's financial responsibilities demand a particular focus on members with financial expertise and the increasing regulation and scrutiny of the sector and its stewardship of public funds necessitate strength, too, in the fields of audit and risk.

### 6. Proposed Actions for promoting diversity among Board members

### 6.1 Raising Awareness of the Board and its Work

Ensure statements on diversity and gender balance is clearly visible on Board's web pages.

Continue to develop ways to promote the Board and its work to a wider audience, for example, through social media, stakeholder communications, and regular updates to keep information on the website live.

Continue to work with Equality and Diversity professionals within the college region and external organisations to explore ways to reach underrepresented groups and promote inclusion.

Explore further and, in collaboration with the Colleges, take forward suggestions from the 2018 Joint Boards Diversity Event, for example:

- Glasgow-wide information events;
- An information leaflet;
- Use of networks to extend reach into underrepresented communities;
- A shared online presence to promote diversity.

### 6.2 Improving Induction and Support

Consider co-option and/or shadowing to engage less-experienced candidates with potential in the work of the Board.

Explore option of mentoring for new and/or less experienced Board members, creating a more supportive environment.

Encourage good candidates who are not appointed by providing constructive feedback and other opportunities to engage in the work of the Board that may support their development.

## **6.3 Developing Board Structures and Processes**

Continue to develop the Nominations & Remuneration Committee's role, for example, in reviewing and developing its approach to identifying candidates for co-option.

Continue to review recruitment procedures against the diversity checklist to ensure they optimise the potential for underrepresented groups to access membership opportunities and provide for reasonable adjustments during application/interview stages.

Identify and remove potential barriers for appointed members where possible, for example, by keeping the timing of meetings under review to ensure those who are working and/or have childcare commitments are able to attend, or allowing for attendance by video-conference.

Consider ways to encourage members to declare and 'own' their diversity.

Progress Board Members' self-assessment against the revised skills matrix to create a more meaningful record of the Board's strengths and areas for development.

#### 6.4 Enhancing Board Member Training and Awareness

Seek to ensure diversity features in the Board's annual development programme.

Identify external opportunities and encourage Board members' attendance (for example, Changing the Chemistry events).

### 6.5 Working in Partnership

Continue to work with the College Boards to build on the positive outcomes of previous years' regional events.

Continue to work with other sector boards/board secretaries, CDN and others to develop and share good practice across the sector.

Engage with bodies, for example, Glasgow City Council, Scottish Government, ACOSVO or the Institute of Directors that may be promoting programmes to develop the 'pipeline' of candidates for non-executive board roles from diverse backgrounds.

## 7. Risk & Compliance Analysis

**7.1** If GCRB does not have a sufficiently diverse and skilled membership, it will not operate as effectively as it might. The action plan contained within this report is therefore intended primarily to mitigate

risk 0012: the capacity and capability of the Board is inadequate and standards of governance fall below the level required.

## 8. Financial & Resource Implications

**8.1.** There are no anticipated costs at this stage for activity associated with the report. Promotional or training activity using external consultants would be managed within existing budgets.

## 9. Equalities Implications

**9.1** The Diversity Succession Plan is compliant with relevant Equalities legislation and regulation and supports the Board's development with regard to equality and diversity objectives.

## 10. Learner Implications

**10.1** This report is not directly relevant to the Regional Outcome Agreement, however, a balanced Board and robust governance arrangements are the essential to the effective determination and delivery of GCRB objectives.